

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/408,858	HANNA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jared J. Fureman	2876	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Jared J. Fureman (PTO). (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Daniel Wasil (45,303). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 5/14 and 5/15/2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-30.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On 5/14/2003 Mr. Wasil was informed that, after consideration of the supplemental appeal brief (paper number 11), claims 1-21, 24-27, 29 and 30 would be allowable over the prior art of record. On 5/15/2003 applicant's agreed to file an amendment to cancel claims 22, 23, 28, and place claim 24 in independent form (minus the last phrase of claim 22, "wherein a depositor is provided assurance of the deposit", since it was agreed that this phrase was redundant with the limitations in claim 23), thus placing the application in condition for allowance .